1 2	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON			
3	IN THE MATTER OF JERRY GRIMSLEY,))	
4 5		Appellant,	,))	PCHB No. 78-160
6	V.	T T B))	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER
7	BENTON-FRANKLIN-WA COUNTIES AIR POLLU CONTROL AUTHORITY,	TION)))	AND ORDER
9		Respondent.))	
	·)))	

This matter, the appeal of three \$100 civil penalties for the alleged violation of respondent's regulations, came before the Pollution Control Hearings Board, Dave J. Mooney, Chairman, Chris Smith, and David A. Akana (presiding), at an informal hearing in Pasco, Washington on August 29, 1978.

Appellant appeared pro se; respondent appeared through its attorney, Philip M. Rodriguez.

Having heard the testimony, having examined the exhibits, and having considered the contentions of the parties, the Board makes these

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

FINDINGS OF FACT

We notice respondent's Regulation 75-7.

ΙI

Section 4-040(2) of the regulation prohibits the deposition of particulate ratter, including dust, in sufficient quantities as would interfere unreasonably with the use and enjoyment of the property upon which the material was deposited.

Section 4-040(5) probibits the emission of any air contaminant which causes detriment to the health, safety or welfare of any person.

Section 4-040(7) requires that reasonable precautions shall be taken to prevent fugitive particulate matter, including dust, from becoming airborne when constructing a building or breaking open the natural cover of the ground.

ΙI

Appellant is the owner of three residential lots in Benton City,
Benton County. At the time of the alleged occurrences, June 8 and 9,
1978, appellant was constructing three houses on three lots, in part by
using the services of subcontractors. Each lot was serviced with water,
but on June 8 and 9, the water to one of the lots was not available.
At an earlier time, appellant's agent removed the natural cover of the
ground to enhance the appearance of the homes in preparation for sale.

III

Complainant resides in a house across the street from the appellant's lots. She complained to respondent of dust coming from appellant's lots on June 7, 8 and 9, 1978. Dust, some of which came from appellant's

27 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

lots, was blown and deposited on and in complainant's home. Such dust unreasonably interfered with the complainant's use and enjoyment of her property and caused a detriment to the welfare of complainant. As a result of her complaint, respondent issued a notice of violation and a \$100 civil penalty, for each of three lots, for which \$50 of each penalty was suspended for twelve months. Each notice of violation alleged violations of Sections 4-040(2)(5) and (7).

IV

Appellant applied water to the lots in question on June 8 as a result of a communication from respondent regarding fugitive dust. Appellant also arranged for a neighbor to apply water to the lots on June 9 which apparently was not done. On June 9, a large wind storm occurred over the area including Benton City and the Tri-Cities.

We find that appellant took precautions which were reasonable at the time taken, and would ordinarily have prevented particulate matter from becoming airborne on June 8 and June 9.

V

Any Conclusion of Law which should be deemed a Finding of Fact is hereby adopted as such.

From these Findings the Board comes to these

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Ι

Appellant did not violate Section 4-040(7) of respondent's regulation. Appellant did violate Section 4-040(2) and (5) of Regulation 75-7 on June 8 and 9, 1978. Accordingly, the three civil penalties should be affirmed.

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

+

This being appellant's first violations under the Clean Air Act, and it being unlikely for such violations to occur in the future inasmuch as the instant construction terminates his home construction adventure, we feel that the fines should be suspended. Any Finding of Fact which should be deemed a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such. From these Conclusions the Board enters this ORDER Each of the three \$100 civil penalties is affirmed, but any payment of each fine is totally suspended, on condition that appellant not violate respondent's regulations for a period of 12 months. DATED this ______ day of September, 1978. POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

5 F No 9924-A

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT,

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER